



Bridging the Interoperability Gap of the Internet of Things

Open call II

Evaluation Criteria



Evaluation Categories

(Number of rating stars = 5; threshold for each question = 3/5)

Request to Evaluators

Please provide detailed comments justifying the provided scores.

Maximum obtainable score: 45

Minimum obtainable score: 27


★ =poor

★ ★ = insufficient

★ ★ ★ =adequate

★ ★ ★ ★ = good

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ =excellent



Section B: IoT Solution

Score:
(Threshold
12/20)

Relevance for Big IoT

Please rate usefulness and complementarity of the proposal for Big IoT Project

- a. **Usefulness:** the degree of expected use of provided offerings. Integration of real data, focus on Smart Mobility and Smart environment, relevance for pilot areas of the proposed solution. Matching of the proposal with Pilot requirements described in the document “Marketplace Offerings and Pilot Use cases Overview”, paragraph “BIG IoT pilots”, will result in fulfillment of this evaluation criteria, meaning the attribution of half of the available score for this parameter.
- b. **Complementarity:** the degree to which the provided offerings/apps will add value to the BIG IoT ecosystem. Proposal potential to enlarge the critical mass of the existing offerings eventually by combining with new offerings, and provision of new, innovative cross-platform applications and services.

Impact of IoT solution and sustainability

In which way the proposal contributes to further maturity of BIG IoT approach, and which are beneficiaries of the proposed solution; the guarantee of availability of the resources offered/implemented in absence of this open call funding will be evaluated. The documented presence of an endorsement letter from a public entity (e.g. municipality) assessing the interest of the proposed solution will be considered as partial fulfillment of this requirement.

Clarity of business vision and ambition

Please rate how clear and ambitious the business vision of the applicant is.



Maturity of the IoT solution involved and appropriateness of the approach

Please rate how mature the described IoT solution is from a technical



<p><i>perspective. Quality of objectives and innovative elements present in the proposal have to be taken into account.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p>Section C: Innovation and impact Clarity of the offered resources/services/apps and alignment with BIG IoT vision</p> <p><i>Please rate how clearly the resources/services/apps to be offered are described and how aligned these offerings are with the Big IoT vision</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p>Extent of potential benefits from the involved IoT solution</p> <p><i>Please rate how realistic and extensive are the envisioned benefits from joining the Big IoT ecosystem</i></p>	<p>Score: (Threshold 6/10)</p>
<p>Section D: Implementation Quality of implementation</p> <p><i>Feasibility of the work plan, quality and effectiveness of the technical methodology, including the work plan, contribution to collaboration with BIG IoT to achieve objectives of the project, appropriateness of the allocation and justification of the resources to be committed (staff, equipment...)</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>I. Quality of the team: Quality and relevant experience of the individual participants, quality of the team as a whole (including complementarity, balance)</i> <i>II. Feasibility of work plan: Please rate how feasible is the work plan based on the requested duration, budget and timing of deliverables</i> 	<p>Score: (Threshold 6/10)</p>



Section E: Data Management

Score:
(Threshold 3/5)

Soundness of approach with respect to management of sensitive/private data, if applicable

Please rate how sound is the (planned) approach of the described IoT solution to manage the involved sensitive/private data, in relation to the respective EU legislation.

